Codec Battles Revisited: HEVC vs AVC (and VP9) in 2016

AVC whenever you can; HEVC when you must

Avni Rambhia, Principal Analyst Digital Media

Digital Media @Frost_Sullivan: Research Coverage



@Avni_FS @Frost_Sullivan: Research Coverage



Overview

- Takeaways in a Nutshell
- A Good Year for HEVC
- Challenges and Risks for HEVC
- AVC v/s HEVC: Today, 2018, 2020
- HEVC Device and Channel Forecasts
- The Enterprise Bifurcates Toward VP9
- Concluding thoughts



Source: Frost & Sullivan analysis.

Strong Strides Forward for HEVC

- HEVC Advance rolls back the most onerous of licensing terms; loses Technicolor
- HDR specifications stabilized, and incorporated into HEVC specification.
 - Two systems: PQ Curve (Dolby) and Hybrid Log-Gamma (NHK/BBC)
 - Select TVs with HDR already; new models expected to emerge quickly
 - HDR+HD more immediately compelling than HDR+4K; AVC unlikely to incorporate HEVC due to TV manufacturer pushback and lack of 10-bit support in consumer profiles
- UltraHD Blu-ray specification goes live; select players and some titles on sale already
- End-to-end product ecosystem in place although choices still limited
- Average encoder prices are now under \$50K per 4K channel and still falling
 - Silicon support also growing: e.g. Intel's new Skylake includes hardware-accelerated HEVC encoding and power-efficient decoding
- Main-10 client support also growing: Xbox One, Roku 4, 4K Smart TVs join Android devices.
 - HEVC support in Apple devices not certain
- Several OTT and Pay TV 4K services launched; STBs being preemptively deployed by service providers in anticipation of imminent service launches
- 4K infrastructure investments growing slowly but steadily (e.g. QuadAVC to 4K HEVC, 12G)
- Development of broadcast standards with 4K also progressing (ATSC 3.0, DVB-T2)
- Select greenfield services in bandwidth-challenged regions being deployed with HEVC

Source: Frost & Sullivan analysis.

Challenges for HEVC and Applications

- Repercussions from patent issues with HEVC Advance still linger
- 4K is still an aspirational technology and marketing tool
 - Continues to be difficult to recoup increased costs from incremental revenue
 - E.g. No official 4K Olympics broadcast due to lack of interest
 - The cost of a new encoder, while affordable, is a small fraction of total deployment cost
 - 4K content capture is increasing, but often to ease production of HD content
- 4K streaming reach is still limited, particularly for higher frame rates. Downloads continue to offer better quality but storage requirements are high
- Decoders and STBs still relatively expensive, though costs are falling & choice is growing
- HDR is currently difficult to product and deliver, particularly for live broadcasts. Lack of backward compatibility will also be an issue for some time
- Low density and slow encoding speeds limit applicability (or increase expense) for real-time applications
- As CDN costs continue to fall and AVC compression continues to improve, difficult to justify HEVC for HD and lower resolution services (MPEG-2 persists as well)
- For now, CPU can be thrown at AVC as much as HEVC for improved compression, buying some more time for companies to see how HEVC issues shake out

Overview

- Takeaways in a Nutshell
- A Good Year for HEVC
- Challenges and Risks for HEVC
- AVC v/s HEVC: Today and 2018
- HEVC Device and Channel Forecasts
- The Enterprise Bifurcates Toward VP9
- Concluding thoughts

Which Video Codec? Easy Answer: AVC until 2016

- The ubiquity and economy of AVC has been hard won.
- Widest reach and lowest
 TCO today for SD & HD
- State of the art AVC encoders can offer 2-4X improvement over earlier generations.
- Computationally maximized AVC can provide compression performance on par with HEVC implementations today
- Scale: throw cloud at the problem



CostsCompression EfficiencyTechnology maturityNew Business ModelsLicensing riskPleasing QoEComplexityInteroperabilityWorkflow fragmentationInteroperability

Benefits

Source: Frost & Sullivan Analysis

Factors To Tip the Balance: 2016



Source: Frost & Sullivan Analysis

Factors To Tip the Balance: 2018



Source: Frost & Sullivan Analysis

OTT Video Compression Choices: Updated from SMW15

	Suitability for HD	Suitability for 4K	Device/ Browser Footprint	Choice of Vendors	Licensing Risk	Standard & Ecosystem Support
AVC	•		•		\bigcirc \bullet	•
HEVC		•				•
VP9 VP Next	•				•	•

Standardized HDR support currently only available with HEVC



Overview

- Takeaways in a Nutshell
- A Good Year for HEVC
- Challenges and Risks for HEVC
- AVC v/s HEVC: Today and 2018
- HEVC Device and Channel Forecasts
- The Enterprise Bifurcates Toward VP9
- Concluding thoughts

HEVC Enabled Device Shipments Updated from SMW15

- Chart does not count devices capable of support through aftermarket scenarios, such as download of software apps on earlier-year sold devices. PCs not counted
- 2016, estimated, in numbers:
 - Deployed video devices: >5B
 - New shipped video devices: ~3B
 - HEVC-enabled: ~800M (2X of 2014)
- Some risk of backwards slippage; we assume Android will not drop support and Apple will eventually add it
- Over half of video devices shipped in 2020 are expected to support HEVC
 - Natively integrated
 - Battery-efficient decoding/encoding
 - Secure playback

Backward compatibility will be a non-trivial problem for HDR and DTT until 2018 at least, and will remain an issue until 2020



Source: Frost & Sullivan analysis.

Context for HEVC Revenue Forecast: Frost & Sullivan Taxonomy for Video Encoders and Transcoders

Rectangles circled in blue indicate individual market research services from Frost & Sullivan's Digital Media service.



HEVC Encoder Forecasts (M&E Applications)

- Enabled (as opposed to capable)
- Numbers still small, and also fluid:
 - Some 4K encoder sales reported as 4 HD encoder sales
 - For transcoding servers, channel counts not really apples-to-apples
 - Programmable encoders (enabled v/s capable) difficult to track
- That said...
 - Global HEVC encoder shipments estimated to cross 2,000 channels in 2016, up modestly from 2015.
 - 2X increase expected in 2017 and again in 2018 as more 4K and HDR-enabled services go live
- Most encoders deployed today are "software". Towards 2018 we expect to see notable and growing share of "hardware", but software-defined infrastructure will become increasingly pervasive for all classes of workflows.

HEVC Revenue In M&E Video Encoder & Transcoder Industry

Key Takeaway: While total industry doubles from \$1B to \$2B, HEVC revenues grow nearly 15X but still likely to account for <\$250 M in revenues in 2019.





Overview

- Takeaways in a Nutshell
- A Good Year for HEVC
- Challenges and Risks for HEVC
- AVC v/s HEVC: Today and 2018
- HEVC Device and Channel Forecasts
- The Enterprise Bifurcates Toward VP9
- Concluding thoughts

Enterprise Uptake Is Diminished

- HEVC Advance debacle clearly impacted HEVC's expected surge of use in video conferencing. In its place, VP9, with improvements in SVC (scalable video coding), is taking root as complement/successor to AVC in video conferencing
- Most infrastructure and device companies serving enterprises are beginning to account for VP9; most devices are including support for VP9 due to YouTube; works well when DRM is not needed. Enterprise video creation and playback packages are following suit.
- In contrast to M&E, many enterprises and enterprise application vendors appear comfortable with any potential IP risks with VP9 in the shadow of several industry gorillas.
- Apple remains committed to HLS and AVC for now; future unclear.
- AOM's next codec is widely expected to serve as the enterprise replacement for AVC once finalized, with AVC and VP8/VP9 able to meet video needs in the interim.

Source: Frost & Sullivan analysis.

How High is HEVC on Priority List?

- Rising in priority, but still not a critical must-have investment
 - Key challenge is increasing OTT service reach, quality and profitability, driven by competitive pressure & consumer demand
 - Higher broadband speeds, better targeted ads and device-centric experiences, cloud DVR are more urgent priorities
 - 4K more about marketing; HDR is cooler but still new/experimental



Closing Thoughts: Pick Your Battles

Most pressing challenges to online content businesses today are:

- Monetization and profitability
- Subscriber churn
- Scalability
- Differentiation



In the short term, these investments deserve strong consideration:

- Best of breed AVC encoder with optimized presets
- Cloud-based converged workflows
- Personalized experience, consistent across devices & screens
- Targeted advertising, driven by analytics
- Renegotiated CDN pricing

Source: Frost & Sullivan Analysis

Acknowledgements (Selected)

- Advantech
- ATEME
- CastLabs
- Comcast
- Elemental/Amazon
- Ericsson/Envivio
- Fraunhofer DCA
- Harmonic
- Haivision
- HPE
- Intel
- Ittiam
- Microsoft

- Nanjing Yunyan Tech Ltd
- Neulion
- Roku
- QuickPlay
- Squid Systems
- Technicolor
- Telestream
- Vantrix
- VBrick
- Vidyo
- Wowza
- Xilinx
- Xylostream

Stay in Touch



http://www.frost.com/AvniRambhia



@Avni_FS



http://www.linkedin.com/groups/Ask-Frost-Sullivan-Digital-Media-1787024/about



http://www.slideshare.net/FrostandSullivan

For Additional Information

FROST & SULLIVAN

Avni Rambhia Principal, Digital Media avni.rambhia@frost.com

FROST & SULLIVAN

Mukul Krishna Global Research Director, Digital Media mukul.krishna@frost.com